July 10, 1974

Mr. Paul L. Hoch
1735 Highland Place #25
Berkeley, CA 94709

Dear Mr. Hoch:

This is in reply to your letter of June 25, 1974.

The following answers to your questions are listed by your numbers:

(1) There was a general review of the classified documents of the Commission in 1972-73 in accordance with Executive Order 11652.

(2) Yes.

(3) Yes.

(4) The withheld transcripts were reviewed in 1972. Only the transcripts of the executive session of January 27, 1964, has been released.

We are treating your letter as a request for a mandatory review of the classified records listed in items 3, 4, and A-D of your letter. You will be informed promptly when the review has been completed.

Sincerely,

(MISS) JANE F. SMITH
Director
Civil Archives Division

cc: Official file NNFL
MJohnson:ram
NNFC 74-168

August 13, 1974

Mr. Paul L. Hoch
1735 Highland Place #25
Berkeley, CA 94709

Dear Mr. Hoch:

This is in reply to your letter of August 5, 1974.

Enclosed are the copies you requested. The Stern memorandum ends with page 101.

We feel that the provision for mandatory reviews of classified documents in Executive Order 11652 applies to specific documents rather than to whole classes of documents and that we should not conduct another general review of the unnumbered classified documents of the Commission until 1977.

We will identify and review the Coleman-Slawson memorandum to which you refer and will be pleased to make pertinent records available for your examination when you visit the National Archives in September.

Sincerely,

(MISS) JANE F. SMITH
Director
Civil Archives Division

Enclosures

cc: Official file NNFL
MJohnson:ram
NNFC 75-15

7772

1735 Highland Place, #25
Berkeley, CA 94709
August 5, 1974

Miss Jane F. Smith
Director, Civil Archives Division
National Archives and Records Service
Washington, D.C. 20408

Dear Miss Smith:

This is in response to your letter of July 31.

Thank you for the information about the number of withheld pages (140 in all) in the Executive Session transcripts. I would like a copy of any of these pages which become available.

I would like a copy of pages 1-34 and 80-105 of the memo of February 17, 1964, from Stern to Rankin, which you have just released.

As you pointed out, the comments in the fourth paragraph of my letter of July 16 were based on a misreading of E.O. 11652. As I now understand it, I can request a review of any specific classified document which is more than ten years old. Is it your position that the extent of the currently withheld classified non-CD material makes it impractical to request a review of all of it under E.O. 11652 before 1977?

Part of Mr. Rankin's presentation to the Commission in the executive session of January 27, 1964 seems to be based on a preliminary memo by Coleman and Slawson. I have not seen any such memo; Rankin asked (in a memo of January 13, 1964) that one be prepared in each area of the investigation. I would like to know if you have such a memo for Area 4 (Coleman-Slawson), and if it can be made available. (This memo is likely to have been quite large, and classified; it is not in the available part of Entry 25.)

When I come to the Archives in September, I will probably spend most of my time reviewing files which have already been screened, including some of those mentioned in your letter. If possible, I would like to see the Entry 9 name files for the 12 Commission witnesses (Dean Andrews through Bill Stuckey) listed at the bottom of the first page of my letter of May 30, 1973. If time permits, I would also like to look at some of the other Entry 9 files mentioned in that letter, specifically (in order of decreasing priority) the Jack Ruby 2-1 file (including 2-1-1 and 2-2-2, totalling less than one box), the Oswald Pre-Russian Period 5-1 file, and all of the Oswald Post-Russian Period 2 files (including 2-1 through 2-4, totalling under two boxes.)

Sincerely yours,
Paul L. Hoch
Paul L. Hoch

8/8/74
8/15
75-15

NNLF

JAN 8 1975

Mr. Paul L. Hoch
1735 Highland Place, No. 25
Berkeley, California 94709

Dear Mr. Hoch:

This is in response to your letter of November 9, 1974, concerning the records of the Warren Commission. Our responses to your questions follow in the order in which you posed them:

1. The first, second, and fourth cover letters from Hoover to Rankin, dated January 13, 1964, are enclosed.

2. The letter from Hoover to Rankin of January 18 is enclosed.

3. Our search has failed to disclose the February 7 letter from the Workers Defense League among the Warren Commission Records.

4. Our previous decision to withhold access, under specified exemptions of the Freedom of Information Act, to the letter of March 20, 1964, from Hoover to Rankin regarding a statement from Mrs. Vada Oswald, currently is undergoing review by the National Archives and other concerned agencies. We will notify you of our decision as soon as it is available.

5. The March 23, 1964, letter from Hoover to Rankin is enclosed.

6. The May 11, 1964, letter from Hoover to Rankin is enclosed.

7. Our previous decision to withhold, under specified exemptions of the Freedom of Information Act, the September 23 letter from Hoover to Rankin and the accompanying memorandum concerning Silvia Odio, currently is undergoing review by the National Archives and other concerned agencies. We will notify you of our decision as soon as it is available.

8. Our previous decision to withhold the April 3 letter from Rankin to Eastland under specified exemptions of the Freedom of Information Act

currently is undergoing review by the National Archives and other concerned agencies. We will notify you of our decision as soon as it is available.

9. The January 6 memorandum from Willens to Rankin concerning the selection of junior staff is enclosed.

10. The UPI clipping, "Warren Silent on Progress," is enclosed.

11. Galley 243 from Entry 31 is enclosed.

12. Commission Document 735, pages 300 and 313; Commission Document 1193, page 130; and Commission Document 1395, page 1 are enclosed.

13. The letter referred to in your question 13 is a State Department letter of October 25, 1960, from Hugh S. Cumming, Jr. to Mr. Bissell enclosing a list of American defectors. Our previous decision to withhold access to the letter under specified exemptions of the Freedom of Information Act currently is undergoing review by the National Archives and other concerned agencies, both on the question of public access and on the question whether as a classified document, the letter should be reclassified. We will notify you of our decision as soon as it is available.

14. Commission Document 1555 is not now missing. It is not identical to the letter of Mr. Hoover to Mr. Rankin of February 10, 1964. Our previous decision to withhold the document under specified exemptions of the Freedom of Information Act currently is undergoing review by the National Archives and other concerned agencies. We will notify you of our decision as soon as it is available.

15. No copy of the CIA telegram of October 23, 1963, is in the ONI file.

16. Our previous decision to withhold Commission Document 1269 and the attached transparencies under specified exemptions of the Freedom of Information Act currently is undergoing review by the National Archives and other concerned agencies. We will notify you of our decision as soon as it is available.

17. Information from the Albert Schweitzer College name files is enclosed. Nothing has been withheld.

18. Our previous decision to withhold the name files on Robert L. Norris under specified exemptions of the Freedom of Information Act

currently is undergoing review by the National Archives and other concerned agencies. We will notify you of our decision as soon as it is available.

19. Our previous decision to withhold the Coleman-Slawson memorandum under specified exemptions of the Freedom of Information Act currently is undergoing review by the National Archives and other concerned agencies, both on the question of public access and on the question whether as a "Top Secret" document, the memorandum should be reclassified. We will notify you of our decision as soon as it is available.

20. The two Naval Medical Center documents listed in the inventory consist of copies of a memorandum of November 22, 1963, from Commander John H. Ebersole to Roy H. Kellerman relating to the autopsy x-ray films and a memorandum of November 22, 1963, from Captain J. H. Stover to Kellerman concerning graphic film holders.

21. Our previous decision to withhold item (4) of Entry 52 under specified exemptions of the Freedom of Information Act currently is undergoing review by the National Archives. We will notify you of our decision as soon as it is available.

Thank you for the information furnished in your items 22 and 23.

Sincerely,

James B. Rhoads

JAMES B. RHOADS
Archivist of the United States

Enclosures

cc: Official File - NNLF
Reading File - NNLF
N
L
ALIP
LR(3)

NNF JH NN

LR:RPThompson:SGarfinkel:bd:4947:1/2/75

Enclosures to be added by NARS

1/3

MM 72

1735 Highland Place, Apt. 25
Berkeley, CA 94709
November 9, 1974

DATE RECEIVED IN NA 11/12/74
DATE REPLY DUE 11/25
REGISTER NUMBER 75-70
ASSIGNED TO Lesly

Miss Jane F. Smith
Director, Civil Archives Division
National Archives and Records Service
Washington, D.C. 20408

Dear Miss Smith:

I have received your letters of August 13 and 19, and the 214 pages of Warren Commission records which were mailed to me. I want to thank your staff, and particularly Mike Leahy, for all their help during my visits to the Archives in September and October.

Please send me a Xerox copy (preferably on untrimmed 8½-inch paper) of the following items:

(1) The first, second, and fourth of the cover letters, all from Hoover to Rankin and dated January 13, 1964, which are listed on page 17 of Inventory Entry 3. (These letters appear to be respectively for CD 107, copies of CD 1, and CD 107.1. I already have the third cover letter, which is for CD 108-218.)

(2) Hoover to Rankin, Jan. 18, "ltr with comments on disclosing info on Ruby at this time." (Entry 3, p. 24)

(3) Letter of Feb. 7 from the Workers Defense League, "info from the Militant files." (Entry 3, p. 37) [illegible] or in other 9, 12, 23, or 42 (Flood Dodge or Portland Weeks)

(4) Hoover to Rankin, March 20, "ltr ref to ours of 3/11 asking for statement from Mrs. Vada Oswald...." (Entry 3, p. 68) NOT IN CI withheld (CD 599)

(5) Hoover to Rankin, March 23, "ltr re crack in the windshield of the bubbletop." (Entry 3, p. 68)

(6) Hoover to Rankin, May 11, "ltr re handwriting examination of ltr marked 'A. Johnson Exh. #7'." (Entry 3, p. 102) [illegible] #9

(7) Hoover to Rankin, Sept. 23, "ltr encl. 2 copies of memos Sept. 12, 11, and 14 re Sylvia Odio." (Entry 3, p. 211) [illegible] withheld

(8) Rankin to Sen. Eastland, April 3. (You have sent me Eastland's reply, dated April 6; see your letter of May 13, 1974.)

(9) Memo from Willens to Rankin, Jan. 6, about the selection of the junior staff. This is referred to in Willens' memo to the files of Jan. 29, entitled "Selection of Commission Staff Personnel," which I already have. This memo should be in Entry 20, PC-8; if it is withheld, please review it.

(10) In the OI-Jaworski file, there is a letter from Jaworski to Rankin dated January 29, which I have. I would like the attached UPI clipping, "Warren Silent on Progress, Says Panel Is Working with Texans."

(11) Galley 243 from Entry 31.

(12) CD 735, pages 300 and 313; CD 1193, p. 130; CD 1395, page i.

I also have some miscellaneous questions and requests:

(13) Your letter of May 13, 1974 said that a State Department letter is withheld from CD 692. Is that the letter of October 25, 1960, from Mr. Elwood to Mr. Bissell, to which is attached a "List of American 'Defectors'" including Lee Harvey Oswald?

(14) The Entry 8 file and my copy of Entry 4 indicate that CD 1555 is missing. Is there a copy in the withheld part of the Henry Wade file? If you can find this CD, can you confirm that it is not identical to the unclassified 3-page letter of Feb. 10 from Hoover to Rankin which is in the GA-1-FBI file?

(15) There has been no response to item 85 of my letter of March 15, 1974, which asked about the ONI copy of a CIA telegram.

(16) Is CD 1269 still withheld?

[illegible]

(17) I would like anything (up to a limit of 20 pages) in the name files for Albert Schweitzer College, other than CD 120 and copies of the correspondence between the school and Oswald's family (CE 228-236; CD 87, SS 182). Is anything withheld from the Schweitzer College file? No.

(18) Please send me anything available in the name files on Robert L. Norris (up to a limit of 10 pages), and tell me if any more is withheld.

(19) I would like a copy of any part of the 151-page Coleman-Slawson preliminary memo which becomes available as a result of your current review. (See my letter of August 5, 1974.)

(20) Entry 52 includes some material which was not listed in the Preliminary Inventory. I have the 11-page report of the Naval Medical Staff inspection of November 1, 1966, which I assume is one of the documents in subpart (1)(e). What is the second National Naval Medical Center document?

(21) Also, is item (4) of Entry 52, the memo of transfer, still withheld? (If not, I would like a copy.) STILL Withheld

(22) A letter from Hoover to Rankin dated January 15, "with comments on Mr. Wade" (Entry 3, p. 19) could not be found in the GA files. (See your letter of May 29, 1973 to me.) For your information, a copy of this 3-page letter (with the second page filed out of order, I think) is an attachment to the agenda for January 21 in Entry 20, PC 6-1. (I now have a copy.) You may want to put a copy in the GA file.

(23) For your information, the material in the folder for CD 728 in Entry 8 is not that CD. A copy of the CD is in the June folder (at the end) in Entry 11, Box 7, GA 3-1, Dallas.

Sincerely yours,

Paul L. Hoch

Paul L. Hoch

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

National Archives and Records Service
Washington, DC 20408

DATE: DEC 3 1974

REPLY TO ATTN OF: Archivist of the United States - N

SUBJECT: Correspondence with Mr. Paul L. Hoch
Attorney Advisor - LRR

Enclosed are copies of a letter from Mr. Hoch of November 9, 1974; an acknowledgement of receipt of the letter; and a draft reply which you asked Mr. Johnson to send you for clearance.

James B. Rhoads

JAMES B. RHOADS

Keep Freedom in Your Future With U.S. Savings Bonds

D-R-A-F-T

Mr. Paul L. Hoch
1735 Highland Place #25
Berkeley, California 94709

Dear Mr. Hoch:

This is in further reply to your letter of November 9, 1974, concerning the records of the Warren Commission.

Enclosed are the copies of documents requested in your letter, with certain exceptions. Item 3 was not found. Items 4, 7, 8, 14, 16, and 19, as well as Secret Service report No. 427 relating to Robert L. Norris in Commission Document 87 (Item 18), are withheld from research as "inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available by law to a party other than an agency in litigation with the agency" (5 U.S.C. 552, subsection (b) (5)). Item 21 is still withheld from research as part of "medical files and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" (5 U.S.C. 552, subsection (b) (6)).

Item 13 is a State Department letter of October 25, 1960, from Hugh S. Cumming, Jr., to Mr. Bissell enclosing a list of American defectors. CD 1555 (Item 14) is not now missing. It is not identical to the letter of Mr. Hoover to Mr. Rankin of February 10, 1964.

There is no copy of the CIA telegram of October 23, 1963 (Item 15) in the ONI file.

There is nothing withheld from the Albert Schweitzer College file (Item 17). The two Naval Medical Center documents (Item 20) listed in the inventory consist of copies of a memorandum of November 22, 1963, from Commander John H. Ebersole to Roy H. Kellerman relating to the autopsy x-ray films

and a memorandum of November 22, 1963, from Captain J. H. Stover to Kellerman concerning graphic film holders.

Thank you for the information furnished in Items 22 and 23.

Sincerely,

JAMES B. RHOADS
Archivist of the United States

March 19, 1975

Mr. Paul L. Hoch
1735 Highland Place, #25
Berkeley, CA 94709

Dear Mr. Hoch:

We have your letters of March 1 and 9, 1975, concerning the records of the Warren Commission.

We are sending you separately copies of the letter of J. Edgar Hoover to J. Lee Rankin of January 10, 1964 (your item 35); the memorandum of Coleman and Slawson to Norman Redlich (your item 39); and pages 23-35 of Commission Document 651 concerning Nosenko, which is the only classified item in the Nosenko name file of which you do not already have a copy. Enclosed also are copies of a transcript of the reporter's notes of the executive session of the Commission of January 22, 1964; the letter of J. Lee Rankin to J. Edgar Hoover of April 24, 1964; and of those parts (87 p.) of the long Coleman-Slawson memorandum that have been declassified (your item 36). There are 28 pages and three attachments to the memorandum that need further consideration. We shall inform you of the result of the review of those parts as soon as possible. Copies of the following attachments to the memorandum can be furnished to you if you want them: CD 843 (5 p.); Commission Exhibit 1 (3 p.); and CD 451 (9 p.), which duplicates pages 27-35 of CD 651 except that the last three paragraphs of CD 651 are not included.

The cost of these copies exhausted the balance ($17.40) in your deposit account. You may wish to send a remittance for your account to our Cashier payable to the National Archives Trust Fund. We shall proceed to prepare the other copies you request in your two letters.

Sincerely,

(MISS) JANE F. SMITH
Director
Civil Archives Division

cc: Official file NNFL
MJohnson:ram
NNFC 75-148

1735 Highland Place, #25
Berkeley, CA 94709
March 1, 1975

DATE RECEIVED 3/6/75
DATE REPLY DUE 3/13
REGISTER NUMBER 75-148
ASSIGNED TO

Miss Jane F. Smith
Director, Civil Archives Division
National Archives and Records Service
Washington, D.C. 20408

Dear Miss Smith:

Thank you for your letters of January 8 and January 28, and the copies of Warren Commission records.

I would like a copy of each of the following items. If any items can not be readily located, please let me know; there is no need to make an extensive search at this time.

(1) Letter of Dec. 18, 1963, from Leon Jaworski, "expressing thanks for courtesies and expressing willingness to assist in any way." [Entry 3, p. 1]

(2) Letter of Dec. 19, from Jaworski, "suggesting manner of interrogating Mrs. Oswald." [Entry 3, p. 2]

(3) Letter of Jan. 9, 1964 from A. Frank Grimsley, "subject: Lee Harvey Oswald." [Entry 3, p. 18] (I think Mr. Grimsley was a U.S. Attorney, so this might be filed with incoming Justice Deparment correspondence in Entry 11.)

(4) Letter of Feb. 5, Hoover to Rankin, "enclosing the pictures of Jack L. Ruby." [Entry 3, p. 35] (I think this is not in GA-1-FBI; it might be filed under Ruby, or it might be withheld.)

(5) Letter of July 7, from David Belin, "re comments on the comm[ission] report." [Entry 3, p. 151] (I think this is not in Entry 27 - REP 1, where it should be.)

(6) Letter of October 21, Hoover to Rankin, re "declassification [of] report of James Hosty dated 12/11/63 re: Ruth Paine." [Entry 3, p. 222] (I think this is not in the available part of GA-1-FBI; it may be withheld.)

(7) I have a routing slip of Feb. 6, 1964, Rankin to Willens to Shaffer, which indicates that a subpoena for certain HUAC material was to be prepared. [You sent me this slip in response to item 4 of my letter of March 15, 1974.] I am fairly certain that there is no such subpoena, or subpoenaed material, in the available part of various files relating to HUAC. Could you check the withheld part of (for example) GA-1-Congress? [Numbers (8) through (10) omitted by mistake]

(11) CD 320, SS 951, the clipping from the Dallas Morning News, Sept. 27, 1963 (p. 3), "Documents on Reds in Texas."

(12) CD 658, pp. 3-6.

(13) CD 533, pp. 2-4, 14-17, 27, 29-31, 36-44, 49-71.

(14) CD 1084(e), p. 35.

(15) CD 1114, Items II-37 (2 pp.), V-43 (2 pp.), X-41 (2 pp.), X-53 (2 pp.), and XI-21 (pages 3 and 4 of 4 only). [When I saw these items in 1970, some pages were apparently withheld - page 2 of II-37, V-43, and X-53, and pp. 3-4 of XI-21. I deduced that they were probably copies of a June 3, 1960 memo from Hoover to the State Department, a copy of which I obtained from CD 294. If these pages are still withheld, please advise me whether or not they are copies of this memo, and review the withholding.]

(16) CD 1193, pp. 69-75.

(17) Letter of June 17, 1964, from Rankin to Hoover, re CE 134. [The reply is Shaneyfelt Exhibit 22A.]

(18) I have a letter of June 30, 1964 from Rankin to Hoover, which refers to a resolution adopted by the Commission on the previous day. (Filed in Entry 12, 3-14: Diary) You previously said that you had no transcript or reporter's notes for such a meeting. (See item 99 in my letter of March 15, 1974, and your reply of May 13.) I made a quick check of the Ward & Paul receipts in Entry 20 (PC10), but found nothing. In light of this new information confirming a meeting on June 29, perhaps you could make a further check - e.g., in the payment records in Entry 20, or for the continuity of page numbering in the transcripts of testimony around that date.

(19) Is anything withheld from the Oswald - Post-Russian Period - 2 file in Entry 9, other than various CD's, the 151-page Coleman-Slawson preliminary memo (which you are still reviewing for me), and a State Department telegram of July 7, 1964? [I am not referring to the 2-1 through 2-4 files here.] As you know, I am particularly interested in the material on Oswald and the FBI which was filed here.

(20) For your information, I have recently obtained several memos which (according to my notes) are not in Entry 25. (That is, they are not in the most appropriate file in that Entry.) You may be interested in checking to see if these memos were indeed withheld from Entry 25 and/or Entry 26. They include: Redlich to Adams, Ball, Specter, and Belin, Feb. 19, re questions on ballistics, etc.; Goldberg to the record, Apr. 10, re talk with Barefoot Sanders; Willens to Rankin, Feb. 10, re staff meeting discussion of undercover agent problem; Rankin to the Commissioners, August 6, transmitting the 8/4/64 draft of Chapter 8; and Belin to Rankin, Jan. 23, re interrogation of Oswald by Dallas Police Department.

(21) Willens to Rankin, May 23, 1 p., re Commission files. [Filed: Entry 25-JLR]

(22) Hubert to Rankin, June 1, 2 pp., re Hubert's departure. [Entry 25-JLR]

(23) Rankin to Goldberg, Dec. 10, 1 p., thanking him. [Entry 25-Goldberg]

(24) Hubert & Griffin to Willens, June 1 or 2, 1 p., response to memo of June 1. [Entry 25-Willens]

(25) Shaffer to Willens, Jan. 20, 2 pp., re Pedro Bertram [?]. [Entry 25-Willens]

(26) Shaffer to secretaries, Jan. 10 [?], 1 p., re file being kept by Shaffer. [Entry 25-Staff]

(27) Rankin to staff, Feb. 5, 1 p., re secretarial assignments. [Entry 25-Staff]

(28) Rankin to staff, Apr. 24, 1 p., re material to be cited in the final report. [Entry 25-staff]

(29) Goldberg to the record, Oct. 7, 3 pp., re handling of various matters, Oct. 2-7. [Entry 25 - memoranda for the record]

(30) Rankin to Willens, Ball, Belin, et al., Mar. 18, 1 p., re keeping Texas informed about depositions. [Entry 25-Internal Circular]

[In items 21-30, I have indicated where a copy is filed, but I do not particularly want that copy. Clearer copies from other files would be better, of course.]

(31) CD 87, SS 583 (2 pp.) and SS 637 (1 p.)

(32) CD 105, page 332.

(33) The two Naval Medical Center documents listed in item 20 of your letter of Jan. 8, 1975, up to a limit of 10 pages each.

(34) Mr. Robert Warner of the University of Michigan Library has told me that he is asking you about the Warren Commission papers in the files which President Ford has given to that library. I am concerned about the availability of any items which are not exact duplicates of Archives records. I hope you will consider copying any such records for your files. Please keep me informed of any decisions or actions on this problem.

Sincerely yours,

Paul L. Hoch

Paul L. Hoch

1755 Highland Place, #25
Berkeley, CA 94709
March 9, 1975

NNFL

Miss Jane F. Smith
Director, Civil Archives Division
National Archives and Records Service
Washington, D.C. 20408

Dear Miss Smith:

This request supplements my letter of March 1, which goes through item (34). I would greatly appreciate having items (35) and (36) handled on a priority basis.

(35) I would like a copy of Hoover's letter of January 10, 1964 to Rankin, which is referred to in CE 2455 (25H604). That letter deals with arrangements made with the Atomic Energy Commission for neutron activation analysis. According to my notes, that letter is not in the available part of GA-1-FBI. Please recheck that file, and check the withheld part. If the letter can not be found in the relevant files, please so advise me so that I can make a request under the Freedom of Information Act directly to the FBI. If it is found, please send it promptly, along with item (39). [If the letter is not found, there is no rush on item (39).]

(36) In your letter of January 28, you said you thought you could inform me in the near future about the review of various records mentioned in your letter of July 31, 1974, notably the reporter's notes for the January 22, 1964 executive session. Also, you have been reviewing the Coleman-Slawson preliminary memo since September. Please inform me of the status of these reviews. As you know, the Freedom of Information Act now requires a prompt response to formal requests; however, if the bulk of this material is about to be released, I don't want to insist on an immediate decision.

I would like a copy (as usual, preferably on untrimmed 8½-inch paper) of each of the following items. I have indicated a file where I know a copy can be found, but I do not care which copy you use as long as it is reasonably legible.

(37) Jan. 16, Rankin to Coleman, 1 p., trip authorization [E25-Coleman]
(38) Jan. 20, Rankin to Slawson, 1 p., trip authorization [E25-Slawson]
(39) Jan. 30, Coleman and Slawson to Redlich, 11 pp., more questions for Marina Oswald [Entry 44-Slawson]
(40) Feb. 19, Slawson to Willens, 1 p., Paine's remarks on Oswald [E25-Willens]
(41) Feb. 20, Slawson to Rankin, 1 p., State Dept. liaison [E25-Rankin]
(42) Feb. 25, Slawson to Jenner and Liebeler, 1 p., interview of Ruth Paine [E25-Internal Circular]
(43) Mar. 2, Coleman and Slawson to Rankin and Willens, 1 p., handling of Oswald by the State Department and INS [E25-Rankin]
(44) Mar. 2, Liebeler to Slawson, 1 p., Oswald's notes to Marina [E25-Slawson]
(45) Mar. 4, Eisenberg to Rankin, 4 pp., ballistics identification [E25-Rankin]
(46) Mar. 11, Hoover to Rankin, 1 p., cartridge clip [E11 - GA-1-FBI]
(47) Mar. 17, Slawson to Jenner and Liebeler, two different 1-page memos re Ruth Paine's testimony (Shasteen and Oswald's driving) [E25-Int. Circ.]
(48) Mar. 20, Slawson to Barson, 1 p., Oswald's finances [E25-Barson]
(49) Apr. 2, Mosk to Slawson, 2 pp., Martino [E25-Slawson]
(50) Apr. 3, Heckman to Slawson, 1 p., re attached money order [E25-Slawson]
(51) Apr. 3, Rankin to Slawson, two different one-page memos (?) authorizing depositions [E25-Slawson] (There may be just one memo.)
(52) Apr. 21, Slawson to Rankin, 2 p., biographical sketch [E25-Rankin]
(53) June 4, Ely to Slawson, 1 p., Oswald's passports [E25-Slawson]
(54) July 2, Redlich to Dulles, 1 p., NAA and Dulles' article [E25-Dulles]
(55) Aug. 12, Slawson to Liebeler, 1 p., Oswald's departure from N.O. [E25-WJL]
(56) Sep. 15, Slawson to Rankin, 1 p., advance copies of Report [E25-Rankin]
(57) Nov. 5, Rankin to Slawson, 1 p., thanking him [E25-Slawson]

Sincerely yours,
Paul L. Hoch
Paul L. Hoch